

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/609,153 06/24/2003		/24/2003	Husayn Alvarez-Gomariz	303.854US1	7883	
21186	7590	10/06/2005		EXAMINER		
SCHWEGI	MAN, LUN	DBERG, WOES	KIK, PHALLAKA			
1600 TCF T			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	I EIGHT ST		ARI ONI	TATERNOMBER		
MINNEAPO	DLIS, MN	55402	2825			

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					N			
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/609,	153	ALVAREZ-GOMAR	RIZ ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	ər	Art Unit				
		Phallaka	Kik	2825				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on th	ne cover sheet w	th the correspondence add	Iress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com or period for reply is specified above, the maximum s are to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. tatutory period will apply and by y will, by statute, cause the ap	'HIS COMMUNIO event, however, may a r will expire SIX (6) MON oplication to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this core ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tint{\ti}\xitilex{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tex{\ti}\}\tittt{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\tinz{\ti}\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\ti}\}\tittt{\text{\texi}\tinx{\texi{\texi}\ti}\}\tittt}\\tittt}\\tittt{\text{\text{\texitit}}\tittt{\text{\texitit{\texi}\t	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>24 June 2003</i>						
· —	•	2b) ☐ This action is	non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from c						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)□	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the Carlo sheet (s) including the oath or declaration is objected the specific or the specific or spe	e: a) accepted or to ection to the drawing(s) g the correction is requ	be held in abeyar ired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CF				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Parentsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Imation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Province) Cer No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date. <u>20050930</u> . nformal Patent Application (PTO 	-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-32, drawn to methods/machine readable medium/pattern generating system involving analyzing optical behavior within the ring-like region, classified in class 716, subclass 21.
 - II. Claims 33-37, drawn to method for solving a system of equations to select a point within at least one of the intersecting regions, classified in class 703, subclass 2.
 - III. Claims 38-61, drawn to methods/machine readable medium involving identifying a number of second regions overlapping the first regions as part of the reticle forming/optical behavior analysis, classified in class 716, subclass 21.
- The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
 Inventions I, II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable
 together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if

they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as selecting points to be used in other two-dimensional pattern analysis other than that of inventions I or II; and wherein invention I can be performed without the particular point selection method of invention II or the identifying of a number of second regions overlapping the first region methods/machine readable medium of invention III. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I or II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. A telephone call was made to David C. Peterson (Reg. No. 47,857) on 9/30/2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phallaka Kik whose telephone number is 571-272-1895. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

571-273-8300

Phallaka Kik

US Patent Examiner September 30, 2005